

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/442,819	11/18/1999	WARREN F. SCHMALENBERGER	SCHC,002	6427
75	90 04/21/2006		EXAM	INER
Mark R. Wisner			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
Wisner & Assoc	ciates			
Suite 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1177 West Loop South			3624	
Houston, TX				_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/442,819	SCHMALENBERGER, WARREN F.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel S. Felten	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	dv 2005				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 July 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.					
· -	, <del>-</del>					
3)[_]	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	_					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	·			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 3624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1, 7, 8-13, 18 and 21 have been amended claims 26 and 27 have been added.

Claims 1-27 are pending in the application and are presented to be examined upon their merits.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims fall within the category of a judicial exception because they do not provide a physical transformation but merely present steps of calculating a mathematical algorithm that does not produce a tangible result. Thus an abstract mathematical algorithm(s) is not considered patentable [see MPEP 2106-2106.02].

Application/Control Number: 09/442,819 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reilly, F.K., "Investment Analysis and Portfolio Management", 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. The Dryden Press, Copyright 1989, pp. 165-170.

--Re claims, 1-21 and 24-27, Reilly anticipates the inventive concept of the applicant's invention by providing a comprehensive list of combined market sector indexes. In particular, Reilly discloses "Salomon Brothers International Bond and Money Market and Performance Indexes" which provides a comprehensive measure of the total return of high quality securities in mayor international sectors of the bond and money markets that is market-value weighted (see Reilly, page 165).

--Reilly also discloses the "Merrill Lynch-Willshire Capital Market Index" which is a market value-weighted index that was created to measure the total return performance of the combined stock and bond indexes (see Reilly page 165).

--Commenting on the importance of "diversity," Reilly suggests that countries have developed composite series which reflect the performance of all securities, and that world capital markets are becoming integrated--leading to world capital indexes (see Reilly, "Composite Security Market Series"). It would have therefore been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to integrate the stock index of Merrill Lynch into the Solomon Brother's index and/or the

Art Unit: 3624

money market index of Salomon Brothers into Merrill Lynch because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been familiar with the notoriously old and well known concept of "diversification" and thus have recognized the importance of diversification to provide a more integrated and comprehensive measure of the performance of capital markets of a country and/or various other countries. Thus the integration of various indexes would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill of the art.

Furthermore, an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would be familiar with the concept of a "balanced fund" or "asset allocation fund" which characteristically invests its assets into money markets, bonds, preferred stock and common stock with the intention to provide both growth and income. Thus, since it is known in the art to provide indexes based upon various fund types, a balanced fund index (e.g. *Lipper Balanced Fund Index*) would be an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Re claims 22 and 23: Reilly fails to disclose, as in claims 22 and 23, that the indexes are calculated via a computer and encoded in the memory of a computer. However computers are widely used making business calculations and thus OFFICIAL NOTICE is taken for using computers for creating indexes.

Art Unit: 3624

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone number is (571) 272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

DSF April 13, 2006

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vineas Helle